Application No. 09/772.584 Response dated March 16, 2009

After Final Office Action of November 14, 2008

AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS

Claim 1 (Previously Presented): In a processor having a plurality of kernel planes with a plurality

of kernels for processing data in a communication device, at least one kernel of the plurality of

kernels comprising:

an interface adapted to receive and transmit information from the at least one kernel;

a satellite kernel coupled to the interface, the satellite kernel performing a discrete class of

operations within a communications application; and

a local controller coupled to the interface and the satellite kernel, and the local controller

permitting the at least one kernel to operate autonomously.

Claim 2 (Previously Presented): The processor recited in Claim 1 wherein the satellite kernel is

configurable to perform a specific sub function within the class of sub functions.

Claim 3 (Previously Presented): The processor recited in Claim 1 wherein the satellite kernel is

reconfigurable from a first sub function to perform a second sub function within the discrete class of

operations.

Claim 4 (Previously Presented): The processor recited in Claim 1 wherein the satellite kernel is

reconfigurable only within the class of operations.

Claim 5 (Previously Presented): The processor recited in Claim 1 wherein the satellite kernel

includes a plurality of electronic devices for performing arithmetic, logic, and storage operations,

the plurality of electronic devices coupled to each other and to the local controller in a fixed manner

for implementing functions common to the class of operations, the plurality of electronic devices coupled to each other in a reconfigurable manner for implementing functions unique within the

class of operations.

DOCSNY-353576v01

Response dated March 16, 2009

After Final Office Action of November 14, 2008

Claim 6 (Previously Presented): The processor recited in Claim 5 wherein the electronic devices are

coupled to each other using a reconfigurable logic technique, a reconfigurable datapath technique, a

reconfigurable dataflow technique, or a reconfigurable control technique for the discrete class of

operations performed by the satellite kernel.

Claim 7 (Previously Presented): The processor recited in Claim 6 wherein the electronic devices are

coupled to each other using a heterogeneous combination of the reconfigurable logic technique, the

reconfigurable datapath technique, the reconfigurable dataflow technique, or the reconfigurable

control technique.

Claim 8 (Previously Presented): The processor recited in Claim 4 wherein the reconfigurability of

the at least one kernel is established on a temporal basis, a logical basis, or a functional basis.

Claim 9 (Previously Presented): The processor recited in Claim 8, wherein the class of operations is

based upon a desired level of performance for the application.

Claim 10 (Previously Presented): The processor recited in Claim 1 wherein the discrete class of

operations is an algorithm.

Claim 11 (Previously Presented): The processor recited in Claim 1, wherein the class of operations

is limited to a class of mathematical field operations.

Claim 12 (Previously Presented): The processor recited in Claim 1, wherein the application within

which the operations are used is a wireless communications application.

Claim 13 (Previously Presented): The processor recited in Claim 12, wherein the operations used in

the wireless communications application include modem operations and codec operations.

3

DOCSNY-353576v01

Response dated March 16, 2009

After Final Office Action of November 14, 2008

Claim 14 (Previously Presented): The processor recited in Claim 1, wherein the local controller

manages the satellite kernel autonomously from circuitry outside of the processor.

Claim 15 (Previously Presented): The processor recited in Claim 1 wherein the satellite kernel

includes a computing element at a lower hierarchical level than the satellite kernel.

Claim 16 (Previously Presented): The processor recited in Claim 5 wherein the satellite kernel

includes a plurality of selective interconnects coupling the plurality of electronic devices.

Claims 17-36 (Canceled)

Claim 37 (Withdrawn): An electronic spread spectrum communication device for processing data,

the electronic spread spectrum communication device comprising:

a channel card having a plurality of autonomous computing elements coupled to each other

via a configurable interconnect;

a processor coupled to the channel card, the processor operable to convey instructions and

data to the channel card: and

a computer readable memory unit coupled to the processor and to the channel card, the

computer readable memory unit operable to store reconfiguration data.

Claim 38 (Withdrawn): The electronic spread spectrum communication device recited in Claim 37

wherein the channel card performs a modem function.

Claim 39 (Withdrawn): The electronic spread spectrum communication device recited in Claim 37

wherein the channel card performs a codec function.

Claim 40 (Withdrawn): The electronic spread spectrum communication device recited in Claim 37

wherein the channel card is reconfigurable to perform functions for any one of a plurality of

communication protocols.

4

DOCSNY-353576v01

Application No. 09/772,584 Docket No.: 14303.0076 Response dated March 16, 2009

After Final Office Action of November 14, 2008

Claim 41 (Withdrawn): The electronic spread spectrum communication device recited in Claim 37 wherein each of the autonomous computing elements is operable only within a class of

communication functions for which they were designed.

Claim 42 (Withdrawn): The electronic spread spectrum communication device recited in Claim 37

further comprising an additional channel card having multiple levels of programming granularity,

the additional channel card operable to perform a modem function.

Claim 43 (Withdrawn): The electronic spread spectrum communication device recited in Claim 37

further comprising a channel card controller, the controller card operable to enable configuration of

portions of the channel card.

Claim 44 (Withdrawn): The electronic spread spectrum communication device recited in Claim 37

further comprising an antenna interface, the antenna interface operable to provide a signal from each

of a plurality of antennas to the channel card.

Claim 45 (Withdrawn): The electronic spread spectrum communication device recited in Claim 37

further comprising a digital signal processor (DSP) coupled to the channel card.

Claim 46 (Withdrawn): The electronic spread spectrum communication device recited in Claim 37

further comprising a programmable digital signal processor (DSP).

Claim 47 (Withdrawn): The electronic spread spectrum communication device recited in Claim 37

wherein the electronic spread spectrum communication device is a base transceiver station.

Claim 48 (Withdrawn): The electronic spread spectrum communication device recited in Claim 37

wherein the electronic spread spectrum communication device is a cellular handset.

5

DOCSNY-353576v01

Response dated March 16, 2009

After Final Office Action of November 14, 2008

Claim 49 (Withdrawn): The electronic spread spectrum communication device recited in Claim 37

wherein the electronic spread spectrum communication device is a cellular system test platform.

Claim 50 (Withdrawn): The electronic spread spectrum communication device recited in Claim 37

further comprising a base transceiver station cell controller.

Claim 51 (Previously Presented): A computer readable medium containing therein computer

readable codes that enable an electronic device to access at least one kernel architecture of a

plurality of kernel architectures in one of a plurality of kernel plane architectures, the method

comprising:

reading an interface architecture, the interface architecture adapted to receive and transmit

information from the at least one kernel architecture;

reading a satellite kernel architecture, the satellite kernel architecture coupled to the interface

architecture, the satellite kernel architecture performing a discrete class of operations within a

communications application; and

reading a local controller architecture, the local controller architecture being coupled to the

interface architecture and the satellite kernel architecture and permitting the at least one kernel

architecture to operate autonomously.

Claim 52 (Previously Presented): The computer readable medium recited in Claim 51 wherein the

satellite kernel architecture is configurable to perform a specific sub function within the class of sub

functions.

Claim 53 (Previously Presented): The computer readable medium recited in Claim 51 wherein the

satellite kernel architecture is reconfigurable from a first sub function to perform a second sub

function within the discrete class of operations.

Claim 54 (Previously Presented): The computer readable medium recited in Claim 51 wherein the

satellite kernel architecture is reconfigurable only within the class of operations.

6

DOCSNY-353576v01

Application No. 09/772,584 Response dated March 16, 2009

After Final Office Action of November 14, 2008

Claim 55 (Previously Presented): The computer readable medium recited in Claim 51 wherein the

satellite kernel architecture includes a plurality of electronic devices for performing arithmetic,

logic, and storage operations, the plurality of electronic devices coupled to each other and to the

local controller architecture in a fixed manner for implementing functions common to the class of operations, the plurality of electronic devices coupled to each other in a reconfigurable manner for

implementing functions unique within the class of operations.

Claim 56 (Original): The computer readable medium recited in Claim 55 wherein the electronic

devices are coupled to each other using a reconfigurable logic technique, a reconfigurable datapath

technique, a reconfigurable dataflow technique, or a reconfigurable control technique for the

discrete class of operations performed by the satellite kernel.

Claim 57 (Original): The computer readable medium recited in Claim 56 wherein the electronic

devices are coupled to each other using a heterogeneous combination of the reconfigurable logic technique, the reconfigurable datapath technique, the reconfigurable dataflow technique, or the

reconfigurable control technique.

Claim 58 (Previously Presented): The computer readable medium recited in Claim 54 wherein the

reconfigurability of the at least one kernel architecture is established on a temporal basis, a logical

basis, or a functional basis.

Claim 59 (Original): The computer readable medium recited in Claim 51 wherein the class of

operations is based upon a desired level of performance for the application.

Claim 60 (Previously Presented): The computer readable medium recited in Claim 51 wherein the

discrete class of operations is an algorithm.

7

DOCSNY-353576v01

Response dated March 16, 2009

After Final Office Action of November 14, 2008

Claim 61 (Original): The computer readable medium recited in Claim 51 wherein the class of

operations is limited to a class of mathematical field operations.

Claim 62 (Original): The computer readable medium recited in Claim 51, wherein the application

within which the operations are used is a wireless communications application.

Claim 63 (Original): The computer readable medium recited in Claim 62, wherein the operations

used in the wireless communications application include modem operations and codec operations.

Claim 64 (Previously Presented): The computer readable medium recited in Claim 51, wherein the

local controller architecture manages the satellite kernel architecture autonomously from circuitry

outside of the electronic device.

Claim 65 (Previously Presented): The computer readable medium recited in Claim 51 wherein the

satellite kernel architecture includes a computing element architecture at a lower hierarchical level

than the satellite kernel architecture.

Claim 66 (Previously Presented): The computer readable medium recited in Claim 55 wherein the

satellite kernel architecture includes a plurality of selective interconnects coupling the plurality of

electronic devices.

Claim 67-84 (Canceled)

Claim 85 (Withdrawn): A method of implementing a design configuration on a configurable

electronic device having a plurality of function-specific computing elements, the method

comprising the steps of:

a) receiving the design configuration at the configurable electronic device;

b) determining a radio configuration desired for a channel element to be processed on the

configurable electronic device; and

8

DOCSNY-353576v01

Application No. 09/772,584 Response dated March 16, 2009

After Final Office Action of November 14, 2008

c) loading the design configuration software into control registers for the configurable

electronic device.

Claim 86 (Withdrawn): The method recited in Claim 85 further comprising the step of:

d) loading an OSI software stack in the controller for the configurable electronic device.

Claim 87 (Withdrawn): The method recited in Claim 86 further comprising the step of:

d) interfacing the OSI software stack with an application programming software interface

(API) to enhance signal processing of reconfigurable electronic device.

Claim 88 (Withdrawn): The method recited in Claim 86 further comprising the step of:

d) loading a specific configuration for each channel element into a digital signal processing

(DSP).

Claim 89 (Withdrawn): The method recited in Claim 85 wherein steps a) through c) are

accomplished dynamically on the configurable electronic device.

Claim 90 (Withdrawn): The method recited in Claim 85 wherein steps a) through c) are

accomplished in parallel for time-sharing components of the configurable electronic device.

Claim 91-98 (Canceled)

Claim 99 (Previously Presented): The processor recited in Claim 1 wherein the local controller

permits the at least one kernel to operate autonomously with respect to the other of the plurality of

kernels and any other circuitry within the processor.

Claim 100 (Previously Presented): The processor recited in Claim 1 wherein the local controller

permits the at least one kernel to operate autonomously with respect to the other of the plurality of

kernels and any other circuitry within the communication device.

9

DOCSNY-353576v01

Application No. 09/772,584 Response dated March 16, 2009

After Final Office Action of November 14, 2008

Claim 101 (Previously Presented): The computer readable medium recited in Claim 51 wherein the local controller permits the at least one kernel to operate autonomously with respect to the other of the plurality of kernels and any other circuitry within the electronic device.

10